

REMARKS

AMENDMENTS

Claims 1-3, 8, 14-17, 20, and 22-23 are amended herein. The amendments introduce no new matter.

REJECTION UNDER 35 USC §112, ¶2

In the examiner's advisory action of January 15, 2004, the amendments presented earlier are said to be sufficient to meet the examiner's concerns under 35 USC §112, ¶2. Those amendments are included herein, and applicants respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.

REJECTION UNDER 35 USC §112, ¶1

The examiner maintains rejection of claims 1-12 and 14-24 under 35 USC §112, ¶1 for lack of enablement. Though applicants remain convinced that one of skill in the art would find ample support in the present specification to make and use the entire range of compounds as originally claimed, to speed prosecution, the claims have been amended to accommodate the examiner's views. These amendments should not in any way be viewed as acquiescence in the examiner's considered opinion. Applicants respectfully request that the rejection under 35 USC §112, ¶1 be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION


In view of the accompanying amendments and remarks, applicants consider that the rejections of record have been obviated and respectfully solicit passage of the application to issue.

Please find attached a check for \$ 440.00 for a one month extension of time and the brief fee.

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including Extension of Time fees to Deposit Account No. 11-0345. Please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

KEIL & WEINKAUF

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'David C. Liechty', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

David C. Liechty
Reg. No. 48,692

1350 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202)659-0100

DCL/kas